

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,266	12/09/2003	Motoyoshi Sekiya	FUJZ 20.770	4696
26304 KATTEN MU	7590 05/03/2007 CHIN ROSENMAN LLP		EXAMINER	
575 MADISON AVENUE			PASCAL, LESLIE C	
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2613	
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		· ·	05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/731,266	SEKIYA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie Pascal	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 1.136(a). In no event, however, may lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) No tute, cause the application to become	NICATION. The a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	<u>) March 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	- ''					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with definition 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abey	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attacr	ned Office Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Selection of Trademate Office.						

Art Unit: 2613

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. "Monitor for monitoring a spectrum of the dropped optical signal within a modulation band per channel" is unclear. Are you monitoring a spectrum or per channel? How is this done?
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Monnard et al (6633430).

Monnard et al teach a drop portion (from 28c or 28d in figure 9) which he monitors in order to provide compensation to variable optical attenuators in order to flatten the output spectrum (column 5, lines 50-54). He monitors the signal (elements 96, figure 9) and uses a controller (52, figures 9 and 18). In that he monitors the signals and uses controller to compensate for the spectrum flatness, he obviously uses the monitor and control means to determine what flatness is required and controls the VOAs accordingly. In regard to claim 2, see figure 1, he shows the boost amplifier as part of the transmission side. In regard to claim 9, see figure 12. In regard to claims

Art Unit: 2613

10-11, in that the variable optical attenuators act to variably pass the signals, they are considered to be variable pass characteristic compensators.

5. Claims 3-8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Pascal whose telephone number is 571-272-3032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 571-272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

heslie Pascal Leslie Pascal Primary Examiner